

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/785,487		02/23/2004	Yasuo Hashimoto	81864,0029	1786	
26021	7590	04/26/2006		EXAMINER		
		ON L.L.P.	BERNATZ, KEVIN M			
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611				1773		

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	γo
Advisory Action	10/785,487	HASHIMOTO ET AL	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		*	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exte	nsion fee have
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	atutory period for reply originally set in the	final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must band to AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below.	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit are at the sufficient reasons.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> The request for reconsideration has been consideration. 		•	
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s) Vuf KMB	
	,	April 22, 2006	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants' arguments have been considered but are not found persuasive. Specifically, applicants argue that Isomura et al. fail to teach or suggest the claimed invention since the use of the resin film is not explicitly disclosed in combination with a metal sublayer and a soft magnetic metal layer meeting the claimed relative thickness values. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Applicant(s) are reminded that the rejection is based on the entire reference and not just a piece meal analysis of the cited reference. Isomura et al. teach forming structures meeting applicants' claimed relative thickness values for the metal sublayer and the soft magnetic layer on an "insulative substrate". Isomura et al. further goes on to disclose that glass and resin's are suitable materials for the "insulative substrate". Given that the choice of substrate material is not critical in Isomura et al. provided it is an "insulative material", the Examiner maintains that Isomura et al. provides sufficient specificity to anticipate the claimed limitations.